

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/772,259	OGAWA, OSAMU
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John Bastianelli	3751

All Participants:

(1) John Bastianelli.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) David J. McCrosky.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2-3

Prior art documents discussed:

Koga US 6,073,908 Fig. 2


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner called the applicant to suggest amending claim 2 to be a PTFE coating and to suggest electing the species of Figs. 2-3 (claim 4) as claim 4 (corresponding to Fig 4-5 is shown by Koga US 6,073,908 in Fig. 2.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)